## ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Chairman

& The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das, Administrative Member.

### Case No -<u>OA-120 of 2020.</u>

Serial No. and Date of order.  Order of the Tribunal with signature 2  Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3    4	Sami Saikh. –Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.			
1  of parties when necessary    4  3    -4	Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature		
1  3    4  3    28.9.2020.  For the Applicant : Mr. A. Maiti, Advocate.    For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh, Advocate.  Mr. A. Maiti, learned advocate for the applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer".    Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents.  We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate	Date of order.	2		
Z8.9.2020.    For the Applicant : Mr. A. Maiti, Advocate.    For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh, Advocate.    Mr. A. Maiti, learned advocate for the applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer".    Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents.    We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate	1			
Z8.9.2020.    For the Applicant : Mr. A. Maiti, Advocate.    For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh, Advocate.    Mr. A. Maiti, learned advocate for the applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer".    Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents.    We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate	_			
For the Applicant : Mr. A. Maiti, Advocate.    For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh, Advocate.    Mr. A. Maiti, learned advocate for the applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer".    Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents.    We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate				
Advocate.    For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh, Advocate.    Mr. A. Maiti, learned advocate for the applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer".    Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents.    We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate	20.3.2020.			
Advocate.    For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh, Advocate.    Mr. A. Maiti, learned advocate for the applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer".    Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents.    We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate				
For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh, Advocate. Mr. A. Maiti, learned advocate for the applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer". Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate				
Advocate.    Mr. A. Maiti, learned advocate for the applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer".    Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents.    We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		Advocate.		
Advocate.    Mr. A. Maiti, learned advocate for the applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer".    Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents.    We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate				
Mr. A. Maiti, learned advocate for the applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer". Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh,		
applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer". Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		Advocate.		
applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer". Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate				
applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer". Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate				
applicant submits that there is a typographical error in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer". Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate				
in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer". Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		Mr. A. Maiti, learned advocate for the		
the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer". Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		applicant submits that there is a typographical error		
Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as "Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer". Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line in the ultimate paragraph in page-4 of		
"Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer". Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		the dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Instead of "District Food		
Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		Safety Inspecting Officer" it has gone down as		
the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		"Deputy Food Supply Inspecting Officer".		
the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate				
the State respondents. We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate				
We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for		
We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		the State respondents		
correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate				
correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate				
		We find the submission of Mr. Maiti is		
paragraph, in page-4 of the order dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March,		correct. Therefore, in the 15 <sup>th</sup> line, in the ultimate		
		paragraph, in page-4 of the order dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March,		

## **ORDER SHEET**

Sami Saikh.

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-120	<u>of 2020.</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	2020 let the word "District Food Safety Inspecting	
	Officer" be read in place and stead of "Deputy Food	
	Supply Inspecting Officer" as recorded. Let this	
	order be treated as part of the order dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March,	
	2020.	
	Since for circumstances beyond control the	
	Registry is unable to furnish plain copies of this	
	order to the learned advocates for the parties, the	
	Registry is directed to upload this order on the	
	website of the Tribunal forthwith and parties are	
	directed to act on the copies of the order	
	downloaded from the website.	
	Let certified copy on urgency basis be	
	furnished to the learned advocates for the parties.	
	(DR. SUBESH KUMAR DAS) (SOUMITRA PAL) MEMBER (A) CHAIRMAN	
S.M.		

Form No.